1 2 FILED 3 CR-1509104 2015 SEP 11 AM 10: 22 CASE NO. 2015-OC-337 NICKULE BALDAIN
WHITE PINE-COUNTY CLERK THE CITY OF ELY 8 **Plaintiff** 9 10 VS. **CYNTHIA THROM** 11 Defendant 12 13 14 MISDEMEANOR TRIAL AND SENTENCING 15 August 20, 2015 16 17 18 19 20 21 PAGE 2 OF 2 22

23

Throm 2015-OR-337

206

Linnea Prengel Ely, NV

Q. When you were meeting with her did she give you a general description of what transpired and why she was talking to you?
A. Yeah, but she described it more of a – she wasn't sure what she should do type of thing.
Q. You said you had an interaction with her later on that day as well.
A. Yes sir.
Q. You said you went down town?
A. Yes sir, there was a, later on she actually called and we didn't get to go over there until a little later because we were in the middle of doing stuff, some other stuff.
Q. Other stuff I assume you mean in Law Enforcement?
A. Yes. It was a busy night. So, we responded for a call for service down there, her office on Aultman street.
Q. What was the purpose, the nature of the call you had received.
A. I believe it was assault of battery or something like that, I couldn't tell how it was given to us.
Q. So, you responded to her office downtown, do you remember the address?
A. No, but I would say the seven hundred block of Aultman street.
Q. Is that within the City of Ely?
A. Yes sir.
Q. When you reported down there, were you by yourself?
Throm 2015-OR-337 102

2	Q. Who was with you?
3	A. I took Sgt. Robinson was with me.
4	Q. Why did you take Sgt. Robinson?
5 6 7 8	A. Because she is my supervisor, and she is a female and I thought I would probably need somebody else if there was something to it that could take some pictures, or – she –she – Sgt. Robinson is good at speaking with females typically.
9 10	Q. So, when you met with Miss Lopez at her office sometime that evening, can you describe what her behavior was at that point?
11 12	A. She wasn't talking as fast, she was still probably agitated, but I wouldn't say she was distraught or emotional or anything like that.
13 14	Q. What did she inform you transpired that was a basis for the call? Or did she?
15 16 17	A. She did. There was some sort of disagreement over insurance, and how they were going about doing their jobs and I'm sorry, I don't remember her name.
18	Q. Miss Throm.
19 20	A. Miss Throm had put her finger, grabbed her by the arms, I believe is what she said, and then put her finger into like this, so she.
21 22	Q. Okay you are now saying put her finger into her like this, you had your index finger pointed away from your body?

1 A. No sir.

us	A. She hadn't indicated that she had poked her, but just that she was sing her finger when she talked to her, and kind of intimidated her I guess.
w	Q. When you were meeting with her in her office, did she indicate here the incident allegedly occurred?
	A. She did, but I don't recall what she said right now.
а	Q. Do you recall writing a statement in regards to this call for service, report?
	A. Yes.
	Q. Will looking at the report refresh your recollection?
	A. Sure.
in	MR. ODGERS: May I approach with a copy of the C.A.D. cident report which Mr. Brown has has (unintelligible words) one and two.
re	Q. Take a moment, review it, when you have refreshed your ecollection let me know.
	A. Okay.
is	Q. You've had an opportunity to re-read your C.A.D. incident report that correct?
	A. Yes sir.
al	Q. Has it refreshed your recollection as to where the incident legedly occurred?
	A. Yes sir.
	Q. And where was that?

ì	A. The library.	
2	Q. Where is that located?	
3	A. White Pine County.	
4	Q. Within the City of Ely?	
5	A. Yes sir.	
6 7	Q. Did Miss Lopez in your interactions with her that evening show you any type of injuries she has alleged to have sustained?	
8	A. I didn't get any injuries, I looked at her arms, I don't recall seeing	
9	any injuries on her arms. I don't think I notated that but, Sgt. Robinson	
10	then went behind a curtain, or toward the back of the building and	
11	photographed what Miss Cooper stated, her injuries were.	
12	Q. Miss Lopez?	
13	A. Lopez I'm sorry.	
14	Q. Have you seen the photographs that were taken?	
15	A. No sir.	
16	Q. Was that the extent of your interactions with this incident?	
17	A. We issued a citation. I think we wrote a citation on her behalf,	
18	Miss Lopez's behalf. She stated that she was pretty emphatic that she	
19	wanted a citation issued.	
20	Q. So she filed a citizens complaint for battery?	
21	A. Yes sir.	
22	Q. Did you serve it?	
	Throm 2015-OR-337 105	

Q. On June Fourth Two Thousand Fifteen, did you conduct an investigation?  A. I'm sorry. Q. On June Fourth Two Thousand Fifteen, did you conduct an investigation? Did you do talk to anybody? A. Is that the same day? Q. The next morning. A. No. I think that day I was off. Somebody must have followed up.  MR. ODGERS. May I approach again with this C.A.D. report?  THE COURT: You may. Q. Second paragraph. Have you had a chance to reflect your recollection?  Having reviewed your C.A.D. report did you, did it refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to anybody else.  A. It does, but I don't remember going to talk to anybody the next da about it.  There is no number on that report, but people add follow up as they go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the next day, but if she says I did, it's possible.  Q. Since June Third, have you done a number of other stops?  Traffic stops, investigations?	A. Somebody else did.
Q. On June Fourth Two Thousand Fifteen, did you conduct an investigation? Did you do talk to anybody?  A. Is that the same day?  Q. The next morning.  A. No. I think that day I was off. Somebody must have followed up.  MR. ODGERS. May I approach again with this C.A.D. report?  THE COURT: You may.  Q. Second paragraph. Have you had a chance to reflect your recollection?  Having reviewed your C.A.D. report did you, did it refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to anybody else.  A. It does, but I don't remember going to talk to anybody the next da about it.  There is no number on that report, but people add follow up as they go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the next day, but if she says I did, it's possible.  Q. Since June Third, have you done a number of other stops?	·
investigation? Did you do talk to anybody?  A. Is that the same day?  Q. The next morning.  A. No. I think that day I was off. Somebody must have followed up.  MR. ODGERS. May I approach again with this C.A.D. report?  THE COURT: You may.  Q. Second paragraph. Have you had a chance to reflect your recollection?  Having reviewed your C.A.D. report did you, did it refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to anybody else.  A. It does, but I don't remember going to talk to anybody the next da about it.  There is no number on that report, but people add follow up as they go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the next day, but if she says I did, it's possible.  Q. Since June Third, have you done a number of other stops?	A. I'm sorry.
Q. The next morning.  A. No. I think that day I was off. Somebody must have followed up.  MR. ODGERS. May I approach again with this C.A.D. report?  THE COURT: You may.  Q. Second paragraph. Have you had a chance to reflect your recollection?  Having reviewed your C.A.D. report did you, did it refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to anybody else.  A. It does, but I don't remember going to talk to anybody the next da about it.  There is no number on that report, but people add follow up as they go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the next day, but if she says I did, it's possible.  Q. Since June Third, have you done a number of other stops?	· ·
A. No. I think that day I was off. Somebody must have followed up.  MR. ODGERS. May I approach again with this C.A.D. report?  THE COURT: You may.  Q. Second paragraph. Have you had a chance to reflect your recollection?  Having reviewed your C.A.D. report did you, did it refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to anybody else.  A. It does, but I don't remember going to talk to anybody the next da about it.  There is no number on that report, but people add follow up as they go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the next day, but if she says I did, it's possible.  Q. Since June Third, have you done a number of other stops?	A. Is that the same day?
MR. ODGERS. May I approach again with this C.A.D. report?  THE COURT: You may.  Q. Second paragraph. Have you had a chance to reflect your recollection?  Having reviewed your C.A.D. report did you, did it refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to anybody else.  A. It does, but I don't remember going to talk to anybody the next da about it.  There is no number on that report, but people add follow up as they go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the next day, but if she says I did, it's possible.  Q. Since June Third, have you done a number of other stops?	Q. The next morning.
THE COURT: You may.  Q. Second paragraph. Have you had a chance to reflect your recollection?  Having reviewed your C.A.D. report did you, did it refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to anybody else.  A. It does, but I don't remember going to talk to anybody the next da about it.  There is no number on that report, but people add follow up as they go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the next day, but if she says I did, it's possible.  Q. Since June Third, have you done a number of other stops?	A. No. I think that day I was off. Somebody must have followed up.
Q. Second paragraph. Have you had a chance to reflect your recollection?  Having reviewed your C.A.D. report did you, did it refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to anybody else.  A. It does, but I don't remember going to talk to anybody the next da about it.  There is no number on that report, but people add follow up as they go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the next day, but if she says I did, it's possible.  Q. Since June Third, have you done a number of other stops?	MR. ODGERS. May I approach again with this C.A.D. report
recollection?  Having reviewed your C.A.D. report did you, did it refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to anybody else.  A. It does, but I don't remember going to talk to anybody the next da about it.  There is no number on that report, but people add follow up as they go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the next day, but if she says I did, it's possible.  Q. Since June Third, have you done a number of other stops?	THE COURT: You may.
recollection as to whether or not you spoke to anybody else.  A. It does, but I don't remember going to talk to anybody the next da about it.  There is no number on that report, but people add follow up as they go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the next day, but if she says I did, it's possible.  Q. Since June Third, have you done a number of other stops?	
about it.  There is no number on that report, but people add follow up as they go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the next day, but if she says I did, it's possible.  Q. Since June Third, have you done a number of other stops?	
go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the next day, but if she says I did, it's possible.  Q. Since June Third, have you done a number of other stops?	
	go. I know they did some follow up, I don't remember talking to her the

l

A. Yes. A lew, I was oil for a couple months, but I ve done a lew.
Q. Is it fair to say the information on your C.A.D report is the most accurate recollection of what transpired?
A. Yes sir.
Q. When the C.A.D. report is generated is that generally based on entry of information by specific individuals whether it was yourself or somebody else?
A. Yes sir.
Q. The fact that all of the narrative or almost all of the narrative is under four twenty one which is your badge number correct?
A. Um Mm (Yes)
Q. That it would reflect that you were the author of that correct?
A. Yes sir.
Q. You don't have a specific recollection today of having spoke to Miss Austin or Miss Ricci regarding this incident?
A. I do remember talking to Miss Ricci. We got a statement from he verbal statement anyway. I really don't remember talking to anybody else about it except Miss Lopez.
MR. ODGERS: Nothing further Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor,
Q. Do you know who unit four eighteen is?
Thurse 2015 OD 227

A. Yes sir.

l

1	MR. BROWN: June Fourth, the day after this incident. You
2	were working, and did have contact with Miss Lopez on the Third?
3	A. Can you tell me what the time was that we went to talk to Miss
4	Lopez?
5	Q. That is a question I have for you, whether or not you recall what
6	time you were dispatched to meet with Miss Lopez?
7	A. It was at night, I believe it was, we were working night shift.
8	Q. So, if you don't know the time is there anything that would refresh
9	your recollection?
10	A. My report.
11	MR. BROWN: May I approach the witness Your Honor?
12	THE COURT: You may.
13	MR. BROWN: I'm going to show you the C.A.D. incident report
14	pages one and two.
15	MR. ODGERS: Do you want mine?
16	MR. BROWN: Sure thanks.
17	MR. ODGERS: May I approach?
18	THE COURT: You may.
19	MR. ODGERS: That way you don't have to –
20	MR. BROWN: Does that have both pages?
21	MR. ODGERS: Yep. Front and back.

	me were you dispatched to meet with Miss Lopez? Well, lead and look at it and return the document to Mr. Odgers.
Q. What t	me were you dispatched to meet with Miss Lopez?
A. It says	eleven seventeen.
Q. Is that	in the day or night?
A. That w	as at night.
sorry. I'm going page. I'm going Miss Austin, Mis	recall seeing what time, withdraw that – Do you – well, I'm to ask him to look at the second portion of that second to be asking a question about who added Miss Throm, s Ricci to the report. Can you – I'm sorry to do that but can to Mr. Odgers now?
A. Those people to the rep	three names? You just want who added those three port?
	And then give the report back to Mr. Odgers. Does that recollection about whether or not you talked to Miss
A. No. It ju	ust shows who added them to the report.
Q. If you he report?	nad interviewed her would you have added her to the
A. Probab	ly.
Q. Who a	dded her to the report?
A. It says	Deputy Peterson.
Q. Is that	true with Miss Ricci also?
Throm 2015-OR-337	110

ļ

2	Q. Again, if you had interviewed Miss Ricci wouldn't you have added	
3	her to the report?	
4	A. Not necessarily. As the report is typed, I mean if you talked to	
5	somebody, you can add their name to the report. Typically if it is your	
6	incident and you are doing all the typing, like I started it so I would have	
7	probably added the people I would have dealt with, it's usually how it	
works. If he did follow up he would probably add who he talked to. I d		
9	remember if I added Miss Ricci, I know I spoke to Miss Ricci at some point.	
10	MR. BROWN: That's all I have Your Honor.	
11	THE COURT: Mr. Odgers.	
12	MR. ODGERS: Nothing further, Your Honor.	
13	THE COURT: Nothing further? Very good. Do you want	
14	to hold him?	
15	MR. ODGERS: No. He can be released.	
16	THE COURT: Very good. Thank you Officer.	
17	DEPUTY MONROE: Yes sir.	
18	THE COURT: Do you have another witness you want to	
19	call?	
20	MR. ODGERS: I do Your Honor, we're going to call Miss	
21	Lopez.	
22	THE COURT: Officer? Will you have Miss Lopez come	
23	in?	
	Throm 2015-OR-337 111	

A. Yes.

	Please have a seat right here. Remember you are under oath.
	Give us your true and correct name and where you live.
	MISS LOPEZ: My name is Elizabeth Nancy Lopez, I go by
	Betsy. My home residence is Two Six Eight Zero Mineral Drive, Ely
	Nevada Eight nine three zero one.
	THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed.
	CITY'S WITNESS ELIZABETH LOPEZ TESTIFIES
	Q. Good morning Miss Lopez. How are you?
	A. I am good. How are you?
	Q. I'm wonderful. Miss Lopez on June Third, Two Thousand Fifteen, were you living in White Pine County?
	A. Yes.
	Q. Did something happen that day that brings you to court today?
	A. Yes.
	Q. What transpired that day that brings you to Court?
	A. There was open enrolment for White Pine County that day,
	therefore different vendors were there regarding health insurance, and
1	there was a disagreement that led to Cindy Throm putting her hands on me
	in an aggressive manor.
I	in an aggressive manor.
	Q. Can you identify Miss Throm? Can you point her out and identify a piece of clothing she is wearing?
	A. Miss Throm is wearing a blue dress, right there at that table.

ij

MR. ODGERS: May the record reflect she has identified the
Defendant.
THE COURT: Reflected.
MR. ODGERS: Thank you.
Q. Alright, so lets start at the beginning of the day. What transpired, how did the day begin?
A. I believe the open enrolment started about Ten o'clock. For the lst several years there has been animosity so I do feel like that day the animosity had been building regarding Miss Kathy, myself and Miss Virginia.
Q. In what way was the animosity. What was the basis for your belief that there was animosity?
A. This current open enrolment was very supervised by Miss Throm, where in the last four and a half years and fifty other companies, two of which are other Counties that I do business with, I don't – I'm not supervised by another vendor.
Q. Can you describe in general terms the, how you felt the morning was as far as the interaction between you and other individuals that were in the room? The vendor individuals.
A. It was very tense, I did try to stay on the other side of the room regarding my Aflac things. There was no other employees in the room other than Miss Kathy at the time, so I did start texting different employees saying please come down to the library numerous vendors are here to talk insurance to try to get everything started. The first individual was Miss
Nichole Baldwin that did come to sit down with me.
Throm 2015-OR-337 113

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

13

16 17

15

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

A. Well, she was saying maybe that's why they are so mad. Miss Virginia was although, I could tell she was irritated, she was able to control her mannerisms.

- Q. What was Miss Throm's behavior like?
- A. Definitely the opposite of Miss Virginia. She was very animated and very vocal, very aggressive. When you're at the L shaped table, Miss Virginia was across, however at the end of the table was Miss Cindy, so she was reaching across the table with her hands and face, but not as if me to you literally the corner. There is not that much space, while she was in an animated aggressive state.
- Q. At some point did all four leave the L shaped table to that red square where you identified on the document?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. How did that transpire?
- A. Miss Cindy was yelling and I felt was being demeaning, then anything I said in turn Miss Ricci would say not necessarily her words were you're being rude, but you need to stop. I was not yelling and I was talking slower and calmer. Miss Cindy was animated so I was surprised that I was the one being disciplined. I was realizing, that "A" this conversation should have been done before open enrolment, and "B" whit the excitement we weren't getting anywhere so I gathered the information I had on that table and I turned because the rest of my information was on the very end of the L table on the other side.
  - Q. What happened next?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

ì

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

was scared she was maybe going to through me on the table. There were people around I didn't know what was going on. I was in total shock.

- Q. With the three women surrounding you, did you feel like you had any type of escape?
- A. I did not feel like I had any type of escape I have never felt like this before, but I felt like it was a gang situation. I know we're not talking about it right now but this been an issue that had been building and I felt very much like this was a gang situation. These three ladies were very angry at me in showing up.
  - Q. At some point did Miss Throm touch you?
  - A. Yes she did.
- Q. Right before she touched you what was her demeanor and behavior?
  - A. Yelling, animated, aggressive.
- Q. We've received testimony that Miss Throm attempted to touch you, grab you, whatever by the wrists in an effort to calm you down. Is that your recollection of what transpired?
- A. Absolutely not. I pride myself at being a lot calmer than I wanted to be, because believe me with the accusations I was getting I wanted to speak above her because what she wasn't saying was true. I was wondering we were paying HR when I'm having to report to a vendor. I was upset but I was not yelling, I was not my voice was not raised.
  - Q. Were your hands animated as you were speaking?

Q. Do you have a specific recollection of her hands being animated?

A At the time that she was physically going to touch me, I believe my hands were at least this or lower.

- Q. You say this or lower, what do you mean?
- A. Well, they were not higher than my chest. They were probably lower than my navel.
  - Q. So your hands were low?

- A. Yea, they were low, because I was not speaking at the time because they were three were yelling at me how Lori was mad and this isn't true and they were all investigated, no one ever investigated me. I said no one ever asked me any questions regarding this in the past. I don't know how much of an investigation they are actually was.
  - Q. Talk about the grabbing. What transpired?
- A. As we were standing there I remember her last words were what are you thinking? She just grabbed me by the upper extremities, and dug in her thumbs and fingers. My hair was down. At first it was maybe less, but then it turned into my hair was flying about and I thought for sure because everybody was so close. I thought for sure Kathy was going to put her arms on top of Cindy's arms to get her off of me. So, the last piece of hair, the hair was all over, the last piece of hair was flying, I was trying to look for Kathy and Kathy was looking at Cindy with this look of like what is going on. So, then I thought then for sure Cin or Miss Virginia I thought for sure, I thought this is so crazy. For sure Miss Virginia is going to put her

hands on and nobody did so at that point she did stop and then turn around and leave.	
Q. Af	fter she left, who left with her?
gets excited	ne gathered her stuff and left Miss Virginia said, I'm sorry, she d or something, she's just upset about the past information.  Virginia maybe helped gather the rest of the stuff and left behind f the library.
Q. Di	id somebody stay with you
A. Sc	omebody was still there at the library with me.
Q. W	/ho was that?
A. Ka	athy Ricci.
Q. Di	id you have a discussion with Miss Ricci regarding the incident?
A. Id	lid.
Q. Ca	an you tell the Court about that discussion?
A. I told her how what was happening wasn't right. Miss Kathy that what she did wasn't right but she didn't say what- it was my interpretation that Miss Kathy said her putting her hands on you wasnight. She was again just explaining that Lori didn't do this fraud, are began to cry I was crying because it was so emotional and unexpected.	
	id Miss Ricci make any statements to you while you were ere regarding – oh, I apologize, we need to go back to the
When Mis	s Throm grabbed you, touched you , whatever, did you respond.
Throm 2015-OR	k-337 123

l

l

A. I did not say anything until she was walking away I said, don't touch me like that again and I would never touch you like that.

- Q. Did you and Miss Ricci talk about that discussion or those comments?
- A. We did, however all Miss Ricci said was that she shouldn't have done that. Miss Ricci and I's conversation was how prior Miss Ricci and maybe had gone to lunch and had a cordial relationship up until a few years ago when there was an entirely different issue going on. So, ever since then it was not been so good. I did mention to her that there is this possible money situation going on and they are all going to lunch and I can see this because it is next to my office. So, I had a conversation to her about going to lunch with different of the vendors and different possible family members of these vendors.
  - Q. How long did you and Miss Ricci meet after the incident?
- A. This ended about five or five o' five. It maybe had to be five fifteen and we talked to ten minutes or so. I hadn't eaten all day, so about by five thirty I believe I ran to the gas station. I believe I made several phone calls to my mother, to my boss, to Miss Nichole, and to an attorney during that time. We had a six o'clock appointment here at the Sheriff's office regarding the open enrollment.
- Q. When you arrived at the public safety building for open enrolment, can you describe how you were feeling?

l	
2	
,	

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I was very scared, I was very sad, I was in shock, I was wondering how this was going to affect my life. I told my boss the truth, however he is new and he doesn't know me or this is a small town. I was crying in the car, I was waiting in the car until everybody went in because I didn't want to stand in the parking lot as everybody was getting their stuff. So, I was trying to stay on the phone until everybody went in. Then once I did go in. Miss Cindy did actually open the door for me, and she was very very nice. In the past four and a half years that I have known Miss Cindy she has never been that nice to me before.

I have opened doors for her and she has not said thank you, maybe growl or said different things. I was very shocked to see that all of a sudden I was being treated very nicely.

- Q. Did something happened while you were at the public safety building?
- A. Prior to the meeting, I was walking into the bathroom, and Cindy handed me a card.
- MR. ODGERS: Your Honor, may I approach with we need to mark it - City's exhibit number eleven?
- Q. I'm going to hand you exposed exhibit number eleven. Before you show it to the Court, can you identify what it is?
- A. This is what Cindy handed me as I was going to the restroom. In the public safety building about six o' five P.M. June Third.
  - Q. Did you have an opportunity to review it?
  - A. I did. I went inside the bathroom stall and read it.
- Q. What did you understand this card to be? Throm 2015-OR-337 125

A. I understood this card to be that she realized that our next
appointment was at the Police station after she just grabbed me and put
her hands on me. So, she was trying to butter me up, and say sorry so
hopefully I would not turn in a police report regarding this.
Q. Do you recognize the signature on the card?
A. To tell you truth, I am sure I do have copies at the office of Miss
Cindy's signature, however I am not necessarily aware of her specific
handwriting.
Q. I'll ask the question again. Are you familiar with the signature on
that card?
A. No.
Q. This is the card that Miss Throm gave you?
A. She handed it me herself.
Q. Did she say anything when she handed you the card?
A. Maybe, I'm sorry about the disagreement, let's make peace, or
something of a nice nature.
Q. Something facilitory?
A. Yes.
MR. ODGERS: Move to admit number eleven.
THE COURT: Granted.
Q. Once you were done with using the restroom, reading the card,
did you go to your open enrolment here at the public safety building?

8

11

12

14

15

21

25

A. I did. I stuck the card in my clothes and went to the end of the meeting. It was in the Officers squad room, so at the long table I did put my stuff and the very end of the long table.

- Q. What was the tone and tenure like at that meeting?
- A. Nobody necessarily made eye contact, it was definitely light heartily joking around. I felt the three were whispering about different things, but I couldn't hear specifically what was being said.
  - Q. Once the meeting was completed what happened next?
- A. I definitely wanted to be the last person. I didn't walk to walk near these ladies, and I didn't want to be putting myself in my car parked right next to these ladies. I tried to wait, it was my plan to actually stop one on the Officers while I was there to do a police report. However, that day was graduation, as well as the circus was in town. I went directly from here, to graduation, parked my vehicle started walking towards a squad car, I found an officer and I said I see your busy I know this is graduation I have a very big issue I need to talk to you and I so I left him with a short message saying I would get back with him later that evening. I didn't want any of this in front of my kids.
  - Q. Do you recall which officer you approached?
  - A. It was David Monroe.
  - Q. At some point did you meet with law enforcement that day?
- A. I did. It was actually probably eleven thirty at night or so when they did come to my office. Unfortunately this incident happened around five, I called my boss, he said he would come here the next day. I was thinking great, I need to clean my office, so I went to clean my office, and I thought

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

luna takan2
was taken?
MR. ODGERS: Move to admit reflect exhibit number one.
THE COURT: Granted.
MR. ODGERS: You can hand that one to the court.
Q. Can you identify exhibit two?
A. Yes.
Q. What is exhibit two?
A. Exhibit two is this part of my arm where, it would have been the
thumb print, however these first set of pictures are prior to the bruises
setting in. In this one, you can kind of see, you can see a scrape where the
material had scraped. The second set of pictures will show bruises, this is
just showing red marks.
Q. Does it accurately reflect the condition of your arm , your left arm
- your right arm pardon me, on the night of June Third, Two Thousand
Fifteen?
A. Yes.
MR. ODGERS: Move to admit exhibit number two?
THE COURT: Granted.
Q. Will you show the Court exactly where the bruising scrapes, or
red marks and scrapes that you are referring to are in exhibit number two?
You have to show the Court. The Judge.
A. Oh, Sorry. that area right there.
Throm 2015-OR-337 130

1	MR. BROWN: Your Honor, now can I see?
2	MR. ODGERS: Now can you show Mr. Brown?
3	MR. BROWN: Where were you pointing? Okay.
4	MR. ODGERS: Now, you can hand that to the Court.
5	Q. Now, can you identify what it is exposed exhibit three?
6	A. Yes. It is another red mark from the other arm.
7	Q. Which arm?
8	A. This arm would have been my left arm.
9	Q. Does it accurately reflect the condition of your arm on the night of
10	June Third, Two Thousand Fifteen?
11	A. It does.
12	MR. ODGERS: Move to admit exhibit number three.
13	THE COURT: Granted.
14	Q. I don't know if you can do it, but can you hold it in such a way
15	that you can show Mr. Brown and the Court the mark that you are
16	referencing?
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MR. ODGERS: Hand that to the Judge.
19	Q. Can you look at proposed exhibit four? Identify what that picture
20	is?
21	A. This maybe you can see it a little clearer.
	Throm 2015-OR-337 131

Q. Miss Lopez? Miss Lopez? Put it down in your lap, would you identify what the picture is?
A. The picture is my left arm.
Q. Does it accurately reflect the condition of your left arm when the photograph was taken on the night of June Third, Two Thousand Fifteen?
A. Yes.
MR. ODGERS: Move to admit number four.
Q. Now, can you identify the injury you indicated on that photograph?
A. That would have been the thumbprint.
Q. You said you went to Nichole Baldwin's house that night?
A. I did go to her house?
Q. Why did you go to Miss Baldwin's house?
A. Because, she is my friend and I had a very traumatic event that day.
Q. Did you go to her house before or after the photographs were taken that evening?
A. Before.
Q. Did you show Miss Baldwin the bruising?
A. Yes I took off my shirt. At the time there wasn't bruising but there were red marks that she could see.
Q. At some point, you came back down to the public safety building and had more photographs taken?
Throm 2015-OR-337 132

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Do you recall what day that was?
3	A. It would not have been the fourth, it would have been the fifth of
4	June?
5	Q. Do you recall which officer you saw that day?
6	A. It was Dale Watterson.
7	MR. ODGERS: Would the Court hand her exhibits five through
8	ten please?
9	Q. These have already been admitted. Can you identify who is in
10	exhibit five?
11	A. That is myself in exhibit five.
12	Q. Is that a true and accurate representation of the way you looked
13	on June Fifth?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Can you move to exhibit six?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Can you identify bruising, and or red marks, and or other things
18	that were a direct result of the interactions on June Third with Miss Throm?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. I need you to be able to show both the Court and Mr. Brown what
21	you are referencing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

l	A. Number nine. Yes.	
2	Q. What is this a picture of?	
3	A. This is a picture of the back of my arm.	
4	Q. Which arm?	
5	A. I am not quite sure, this looks like it would have been this arm, so	
6	my left arm.	
7	Q. There is three circles. Three marks that appear to be circles, or	
8	circular types. What, obviously that didn't occur during the incident,	
9	correct?	
10	A. Yes that did not occur.	
11	Q. How did those circles end up on your arm?	
12	A. I believe it was the fourth, the evening of the fourth, Miss Nichole	
13	Baldwin came to my house and I didn't realize there was any bruising on	
14	the back, I thought it was what I had seen. Apparently she could see, so	
15	she did start circling them. Maybe one was a little fainter, that is why there	
16	is not four, but there was, it was obvious. So, she circled them.	
17	Q. Those are marks caused by whom? Not the black marks but the	
18	bruising?	
19	A. Miss Cindy Throm.	
20	Q. Would you then go to exhibit ten? Can you identify what is in that	
21	photograph?	
22	A. That would have been the other arm on this side.	
23	Q. Your right arm –	
	Throm 2015 OP 227	

1	A. So, she is leπ handed, or right handed, so it makes sense that
2	there would be bruising on the, if you were in front of me, that's why this
3	one maybe has less bruising.
4	MR. BROWN: I'm going to object Your Honor, she is making
5	arguments as to – it's speculation on her part.
6	THE COURT: Sustained.
7	Q. I am going to go back to my question. Can you identify what is in
8	exhibit number ten.
9	A. It is my arm.
10	Q. Which arm?
11	A. It is my left, or my right arm.
12	Q. What area of your right arm?
13	A. It would be the back side of my right arm.
14	Q. The are generally where the tricept would be?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. What does it depict?
17	A. Less of a bruising than of this arm, however, Nichole did circle
18	several bruises.
19	Q. There is no circles on that.
20	A. Actually, that is, I think those are circles. I had taken a shower,
21	and that's why these aren't drawn to the way normally, she had come on

	the fourth and done this, and then I had showered and gone the fifth to take
	pictures.
	Q. Can you describe to the Court, and show to Mr. Brown and the Court the injuries that are identified in exhibit ten.
	A. You can see there is dark patches there, dark patches there.
	Q. Can you hand all of those back to the Judge?
	Prior to the incident June Third Two Thousand Fifteen, did you have any of these marks or bruises on your arms?
	A. No.
	Q. What caused these marks and bruises on your arms?
	A. Miss Cindy at the library.
	Q. Miss Cindy at the library doing what?
	A. Grabbing me, with both of her hands, squishing, and shaking me profusely to the point my hair flew about.
	Q. Did you have a meeting with Virginia Austin on the morning of August fourth?
	A. They were both there, she came and got candy and I said have more because you're skinny.
	Q. Did you have a discussion with Miss Austin regarding this incident?
	A. On the fourth? Oh, on the fourth? Okay. Sorry. Yes. My boss did
	come. Miss Virginia said, how I was lying, and she didn't touch me at all.
	Then she said maybe she touched her wrist. I was glad my boss was at
	Throm 2015-OR-337 137
ĺ	Linnea Prengel Fly NV

Ì

least there because at first she said she didn't touch me, then she said she said the wrist. I guess all three of us had different stories.
Q. Regardless whether the wrist or the arm was touch, when the touching occurred, can you describe Miss Throm's conduct and behavior.
A. Her conduct and behavior was out of control to the point that I felt Miss Virginia was trying to defuse her.
Q. The touching whether on the wrist or the arm by Miss Throm was it done, in your opinion with any force?
A. Very much force.
Q. How much do you weigh?
A. I weight one hundred twenty pounds.
Q. How tall are you?
A. Five six.
Q. When Miss Throm put her hands on you, do you believe that she put her hands on you with force?  A. I do.
Q. Did you give Miss Throm permission to put her hands on you?
A. No. Afterwards I said please don't touch me like that, I would never touch you.
MR. ODGERS: Pass the witness.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
Q. Miss Lopez were you just winking at somebody?

1	A. Winking?
2	Q. Before that last question. There was a little pause, I saw you look
3	over and wink.
4	A. My dad is sitting right there. I don't believe I was winking. I have
5	two sties in my eye actually.
6	Q. The answer is no that is okay.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Brown. It's twenty five after twelve, do
8	you think we should break for lunch?
9	MR. BROWN: That's fine Your Honor, if we do, I would ask the
10	Court to give an admonition to all the witnesses, that they are not to
11	discuss their testimonies with any one other than the attorney's. I'm fine
12	with taking a break if that is what you would like to do.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Odgers?
14	MR. ODGERS: I agree with Mr. Brown. The admonition needs
15	to occur. I don't have any opposition to it.
16	THE COURT: I can see, we are not anywhere near being
17	done.
18	MR. ODGERS: This is my last witness.
19	THE COURT: This is your last, and then Mr. Brown
20	has his.
21	MR. BROWN: I don't know Your Honor, I may, I think I do need
22	to recall my witness. I think it would be short but,
23	THE COURT: Would you like to continue?
	Throm 2015-OR-337 139

ı	MR. BROWN: It's up to the Court, I'm fine either way.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Odgers, how do you feel?
3	MR. ODGERS: I can do this all day long, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: I just as soon see you get finished. You
5	may continue.
6 7	MR . ODGERS: Before you start, I do need to admit this into evidence by me and Miss Lopez to sign.
8	THE COURT: Will you please get a pen and go up and sign it.
10	MR. ODGERS: My apologizes the. Will you sign it in the lower right hand corner, and if the clerk would mark it as the next exhibit in order?
12	Clerk: Number fourteen.
13	THE COURT: You may continue Mr. Brown.
14	MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	Q. I want to go back to earlier in the day on June Third. You said
16	that you opened up around, I think you said around ten a.m., and you said
17	something that the animosity had been building for several years. Did you feel that you had animosity towards Miss Throm?
18	
19	A. No.
20	Q. There was no animosity on your part?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Just Miss Throm?

Q. Kathy Ricci? 2 A. I feel like yes. 3 Q. Virginia Austin? 4 A. Yes, Virginia. 5 Q. Going into that day, you kind of thought they were all against you? 6 A. I did. 7 Q. Then at some point later that morning, you had mentioned that 8 later that morning you were kind of upset you were being supervised by 9 Miss Throm? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. How was she supervising you? 12 A. My first client of the day was actually Miss Nichole. Nichole and I 13 were discussing what claims she had, and Miss Throm came, originally 14 cordially, then started being very aggressive. It started to look as if she 15 was trying to make me look bad in front of customers. Nichole and I were 16 sitting somewhat close. Miss Throm came in between us with this piece of 17 paper in my face and pointing at it. I was mortified. I was very 18 embarrassed. I did later tell her that I would never come up to her when 19 she was speaking with a client. Especially about information that should 20 have been spoken about prior. 21 Q. So, you were upset about that? 22

141

Linnea Prengel Elv. NV

A. I feel like several different ladies as well as Miss Throm.

1

Throm 2015-OR-337

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. You're not happy about that either are you?

Throm 2015-OR-337 145

- 5
- A. I mean Aflac. No, obviously not.
- 6 7
- Q. Another broker prior to you?

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

- A. So, in that instance, no one would split, no one would split, Aflac would not split in another company or vendor because of that. However she then called my bosses bosses boss and said if that you do not split us in White Pine County we are going to take of Nye County, and there is other business that she does in Vegas that I'm not aware of. I was told that she mentioned them and was threatening my bosses bosses boss to take all of that away and replace it with Colonial if I didn't cut her in on this.
  - Q. That made you mad didn't it?
- A. It did. It's so funny because it actually went from a thirty percent split to a shut your mouth and don't say anything and we'll only take twenty percent.
- Q. Let me go to about four fifteen, and you're all in the library and you're getting ready to leave correct?
- A. Yes. I believe it was suppose to be the end unless we had any conversation.
- Q. You, referred to Virginia Austin, and Kathy Ricci, and Cindy Throm as a gang. You felt like you were being (unintelligible word) a gang?

A. So during —

ļ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. So, specifically -

ì

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. That's when I stood up at the table, I said this is obviously not working –
Q. I'm talking about later when you were surrounded. Did you, what did you do to resolve that situation, did you say I'm sorry, you people are upsetting my, I'm going?
A. I was waiting for it to end, it was like, they were all yelling the same thing.
Q. All three of them were yelling at you?
A. They were all saying Lori's mad, this is why, this fraud investigation, here we are all being questioned about it.
Q. That was two years prior right?
A. Yes.
Q. That wasn't now.
A. No.
Q. That wasn't on this day, that was two years prior.
A. Yep.
Q. There was no investigation, Right?
A. I was never investigated, so I can't say that was an investigation or not. I was never investigated.
Q. They were all upset about this non investigation?
A. They did say they were investigated, that's why they were angry at me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Linnea Prengel Ely, NV

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Throm 2015-OR-337

and Miss Kathy was talking to him. Then, there wasn't anyone else here. That was my intention, to while I was here after the enrollment, after everyone left, be able to sit down with an Officer. However, it was graduation as well as the Circus. Q. You wanted to go to the Circus? A. I went straight from here to Graduation. Q. I thought you said something about going to the Circus. A. I did go to the Circus afterwards, I have two small kids. I forgot that was the day for the Circus, and my husband was already there. So, my husband didn't even know all this. He was already at the Circus with the kids. Q. After this attack, you appear at the Sheriff's Office, and instead of making a report, you went to Graduation, then after Graduation you went to the Circus. A. I did not go to Graduation to attend Graduation. I went to Graduation, I saw the first police vehicle, I saw the first Officer and I walked over that Officer, and told him I needed to make a police report. Q. Were you aware that there is a dispatcher right here, outside of this door, outside of this room that can contact an Office? A. They could. They definitely could have but everybody was at Graduation, so it would be a while. Q. How did you know everybody was at Graduation? A. That's what they said because we came for open enrolment and nobody was here, because everybody was at Graduation. Throm 2015-OR-337 156

Linnea Prengel Ely, NV

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	Q. That was more important, than reporting this incident?
2	MR. ODGERS: Objection, Your Honor. This characterizes her
3	testimony, badgering, argumentative, shall I go on.?
4	MR. BROWN: I have a right to test her testimony Your Honor.
5	MR. ODGERS: Test her testimony is correct, but you insinuate
6	that it was more important for her to go to graduation than it was to report.
7	Miss interprets and misstates all the testimony she has had. He has asked
8	this question three times.
9	MR. BROWN: I have not. I can ask the question and she can
10	answer it.
11	THE COURT: Can you ask that question in a different
12	way?
13	MR. BROWN: Sure, Your Honor.
14	Q. When you left here, you went to Graduation correct?
15	A. I went to the high school because that's were all the police officers
16	were supervising Graduation.
17	Q. Police officers were supervising Graduation?
18	A. So, we don't have security companies in town that are private.
19	Q. You reported it at the time?
20	A. I did not make a written statement, I told Dave Monroe, there were
21	people walking by so it was a choppy conversation, but I did get to tell him
22	that it was very needed. I needed to do this. He said I am really busy right
23	obviously it's graduation. We do not want people drinking or whatever they
	Throm 2015-OR-337 157

do on Graduation, So, I said okay, it's the Circus, my husband is already at
the Circus with kids, I am going to put the kids down to sleep. I said my
boss is now coming to town. I will be at my office tonight cleaning and so
that would be a good time so the kids aren't involved. That's what
happened at the end of the evening, I was cleaning, and the police did
come to the office.
Q. Six hours after this incident?
A. Yes. Five and a half hours.
Q. After the Circus you went to Nichole Baldwin's house?
A. After the Circus I went home and put my kids to bed, and then
Nichole lives on the way to my office. I stopped at her house to, I hadn't
looked at myself yet.
Q. So, you went to her house to look at yourself, before you called
the Sheriff's office correct?
MR. ODGERS: Objection. Misstates the testimony Your
Honor. She has already testified, Mr. Brown is well aware of it. She
notified Dave Monroe that she needed to talk to them. Dave said they were
busy. That is consistent with his testimony, there was no subsequent call.
budy. That is consistent with the tooming, there was no cabesquent can.
MR. BROWN: That is not what I am asking her about.
MR. ODGERS: That is what he just said Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: What I asked her was did she go to Nichole'
house to look at herself before she called this into the Sheriff's office?
MR. ODGERS: Again.
MIS LOPEZ. So, no because I already told them.
Throm 2015-0R-337 158

1	MR. BROWN: (Laughter) Good one.
2	MR. ODGERS: This misstates the testimony Your Honor.
3	Mr. Brown is well aware, he sat in here essentially while Mr. Monroe
4	testified that he was approached by her. He sat here essentially listening
5	while Miss Lopez has said three times now, that she approached Dave and
6	said come get me later. There was no testimony about any phone call. Mr
7	Brown is trying to say now, you did all this before you made the phone call
8	to law enforcement. So, he is trying create a situation that didn't exist and
9	the facts don't support it.
10	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that.
11	MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	Q. Miss Lopez you testified that you spoke to Dave Monroe that nigh
13	correct? At Graduation.
14	A Yes.
15	Q. Did you make a formal report at that time?
16	A. I actually never made a formal report until the next day. They
17	never even took a written statement when they came to take pictures, so I
18	made a written statement, I turned it in on the Fifth, the day that Dale
19	Watterson, took bruises.
20	Q. So, is it your testimony that you never called the Sheriff's office
21	that night?
22	A. I did call the Sheriff's office that night?
23	Q. When did you call the Sheriff's office?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	MR. BROWN: I'd ask you to look at exhibit ten Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: There you go.
3	MR. BROWN; Thank you. Your Honor.
4	Q. Mam, I'm going to direct your attention to just above the center of
5	that picture and there is a line going across your arm correct?
6	A. Um Mm (yes).
7	Q. Is that, it was my understand, is that your testimony that that was
8	a line that was drawn on your arm?
9	A. Um Mm (yes).
10	Q. It was drawn on your arm by Nichole Baldwin, on late of the night
11	on the third is that correct?
12	A. No. it would have been the next day the fourth. She had come to
13	my house, and said, girl we need to draw these so we can take pictures.
14	Because she wanted to take pictures additional to what pictures had been
15	taken here. I don't think we had ever taken additional pictures, but that was
16	the plan. Just the lighting a lot of them, it was hard to see. Obviously I
17	wasn't going to have two black eyes. It wasn't my whole arm wouldn't be
18	bruised.
19	Q. So you were doing that to highlight the injures that you claimed
20	you had?
21	A. Yes sir.
22	Q. Isn't the reason that we are going through all of this that you are
23	angry with Cindy Throm, and you are hoping that this would cost her her
24	contract with the County?
	Throm 2015-OR-337 161
	Linnea Prengel Fly. NV

ì

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	A. I did.
2	Q. Who started that phrase?
3	A. I meant at a gang situation.
4	Q. I'm going to get to the gang situation. I'm asking you who –
5	A. I used the word gang.
6	Q. I asked who used the term gang member? You or Mr. Brown?
7	A. I did not use the term gang member, it was probably was Mr. Brown that said member.
9	Q. Now, when you said gang situation, what did you mean?
10 11 12	A. I would explain a gang situation to be maybe multiple people with one agenda against one or more people where there is less and possibility of a threat one way or another.
13	Q. So, did you feel ganged up on?
14	A. Very much so.
15	Q. Is that what you meant by gang situation?
16	A. Gang situation. Ganged up on.
17 18	Q. Not as in gang member, as in there they are the Crypt's or the Bloods?
19	A. Drug dealer, no.
20 21	Q. Gun toting, tattoo wearing, illegal people like Mr. Brown was trying to reference right?
22	MR. BROWN: Objection. Throm 2015-OR-337 163

intent on?

l i

A. My intent was I, my intent was to do it here, however nobody was here since we have a low police and apparently there was two activities. My intent was just to as soon as possible tell somebody. Even if it wasn't written, like I said. I found a police officer vehicle. I found the first police office. I just told them, like I said, they were busy at the time. I said, I can make a report later. I just felt immediately after the meeting, and everyone was out of the parking lot, I needed to do something about that.

Q. When you reported to Dave Monroe, the incident, what was your

- Q. The meeting here at the public safety building. Mr. Brown was asking questions about why didn't you report it at that point in time. What was the reason you were here at the public safety building?
  - A. To do insurance.
  - Q. You were doing your job?
- A. I was doing my job. The only police officer was Miss Penny and she was actually doing work at the time. So, I might have been able to say it to her, but she was feeling out paper work regarding them. I was actually dealing with Jackie Miller and Bill Miller. So by the time, I was done with them Miss Penny had already left to Graduation, so I couldn't.
- Q. So, as soon as you were done here, you made a beeline to were you knew law enforcement was?
  - A. Yeah, Yeah absolute. Yes sir.
- Q. Then the subsequent phone call at approximately eleven fifteen p.m. that was because Mr. Monroe had not shown up by that point?

  Throm 2015-OR-337

  164

A. He had not.

1	A. That—I'm scared, I have kids. I have a building downtown I don't
2	want bricks thrown at my building. I don't know what people –
3	MR. BROWN: Objection. Your Honor.
4	MR. ODGERS: She has the ability to testify about her
5	motivation. That is what exactly that I asked the question.
6	THE COURT: Overruled.
7	MR. ODGERS: What was the motivating factor.
8	A. I have a business. I am trying to keep a good reputation because
9	it's a small town.
10	MR. ODGERS: Nothing further, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Did you have anything else Mr. Brown?
12	MR. BROWN: No. Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: If nothing else.
14	MR. ODGERS: She can be released, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: She can be released?
16	MR. OGDERS: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You may be released.
18	You may leave.
19	You don't have any other?
20	MR. ODGERS: I have nothing. We'll rest at this point.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
	Throm 2015-OR-337 166

i	MR. BROWN: Your Honor. I need a couple minutes, my client
2	and I need to confer. I think everyone needs a restroom break.
3	THE COURT: Okay. We'll take a five minute break. Go
4	ahead.
5	MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	(Court takes a break at 1:06 P.M.)
7	(Court convenes at 1:17 P.M.
8	THE COURT: This is case number two zero one five
9	dash three three seven. Cynthia Throm is present in Court and so the Mr.
10	Brown and Mr. Odgers.
11	After a brief recess are we ready to resume Mr. Brown?
12	MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The Defense would call Kathy
13	Ricci.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat right there. Remember
15	you are under oath.
16	You may proceed.
17	WITNESS KATHY RICCI TESTIFIES
18	Q. Miss Ricci, you testified earlier today correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. You are still under oath, you should be reminded. I want to ask
21	you a couple more questions about the incident that took place late in the
22	afternoon. I think you drew a diagram as you were all leaving the library.
ı	

1	At that time, do you recall what the disagreement was between Miss Throm		
2	and Miss Lopez?		
3	A. There was several things in the conversation. How the benefits		
4	were being presented to the employees. What also came up in the		
5	conversation was that the Sheriff's office had to look into rumors and that's		
6	about Cindy taking kickbacks, excuse me Lori taking kickbacks from Cindy.		
7	Q. When you say Lori, who are you talking about?		
8	A. I'm sorry, Lori Carson.		
9	Q. Did you ever say anything about Lori Carson in that meeting?		
0	A. No.		
1	Q. Did you say that Lori Carson would be upset?		
2	A. No.		
3	Q. Did you make any comments about being interrogated by law		
4	enforcement?		
5	A. No.		
6	Q. Do you know if Betsy Lopez has a contract to provide insurance		
7	services for the County?		
8	A. Through Aflac. She was our representative. Do you know if she		
9	still is?		
20	A. No she is not.		
21	Q. Do you know why that is?		
22	A. Kevin, is her boss, had taken off the County's-		

1	Q. Do you personally, I'm not going to ask you what somebody said	
2	do you personally know why that was?	
3	A. No.	
4	Q. Do you know if there were any complaints about Miss Lopez from	
5	county employees?	
6	A. I have gotten a few complaints about the service.	
7	Q. Did you pass those on?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	MR. BROWN: That's all I have Your Honor.	
10	THE COURT: Mr. Odgers?	
11	Q. To your knowledge when did Mr. Kevin, whatever his last name	
12	is, take Miss Lopez off of the County rolls. Whatever the correct	
13	terminology is, a vendor?	
14	A. I am not certain what date he took her off?	
15	Q. Was it before or after June third two thousand fifteen?	
16	A. It was after June third.	
17	Q. Did you participate in any discussions at all with Kevin, whatever	
18	his last name is, regarding this incident?	
19	A. No. Not this incident.	
20	Q. Are you aware of who may have had a discussion with Kevin	
21	regarding this incident?	
22	A. No.	
	Throm 2015-OR-337 169	

Q. Ivir. Brown asked you about the Sheriπ's oπice needing to look
into rumors that Lori Carson was taking kickbacks from Cindy Throm. Do
you remember that?
MR. BROWN: I'm going to object Your Honor. He is misstating the question. The question that she answered is whether or not she was interrogated by law enforcement about a fraud investigation.
MR. ODGERS: Excuse me. There was- she made the statement that the Sheriff's office had to look into rumors regarding Lori Carson taking kickbacks from Cindy Throm. Do you remember making that statement, just a minute ago?
A. Yes.
Q. Who made that statement that the Sheriff's had to look into kickbacks?
A. I heard it a while ago.
Q. On June third. That was the question that Mr. Brown had posed to you. What were the issues, you brought that up as an issue. I'm asking who made the statement on June third about he Sheriff's office, since it wasn't you?
A. I'm not certain. I don't know. It was brought up. I do not know who brought the statement up.
Q. Possibly Miss Throm?
A. I don't know.
Q. Possibly Virginia Austin?
A. I don't know. But, it was brought up.  Throm 2015-OR-337 170
Linnea Prengel Ely, NV

Q. During the discussion about the Sheriff's office looking into			
rumors, Did Miss Throm indicate that she had been investigated or spoken to by the Sheriff's office?			
to by the offerm 5 office:			
A. I couldn't tell you for sure. I don't remember. Like I said, there			
was a lot of stuff being said. I don't remember.			
Q. Do you recall that Miss Austin indicated that she likewise had to respond to the Sheriff's Office regarding the alleged kickbacks.			
A. I don't remember that.			
Q. You just recall a comment being made, you are unsure of who made it, but it wasn't you?			
A. I'm not certain if I said it. I just don't remember.			
Q. Miss Carson is one of your supervisors right?			
A. Yes.			
Q. As the county commissioner?			
A. Yes.			
Q. Have you and Miss Caron had discussions regarding this alleged investigation?			
A. Yes.			
Q. So you are personally aware of some alleged investigation regarding kickbacks?			
A. Could you?			

	Do you have personal knowledge of an investigation by White inty Sheriffs office involving some alleged kickbacks?
A.	I just know of it's hearsay that that's what was happening.
Q.	What was happening?
	That some people had to be questioned on a rumor that was said.  ething that was said.
a commu	So if for example Miss Carson informed you, that she had to have nication with law enforcement regarding this alleged rumor?  Yes.
Q.	So that is personal knowledge. Correct?
A.	Yes.
	MR. BROWN: I'm going to object. That's hearsay.
Q.	Did Miss Throm indicate the same thing?
	MR. BROWN: Object. It's hearsay. It's all hearsay.
	MR. ODGERS: No. It's party dependant. So, it's not hearsay.
	MR. BROWN: I'd like to have the prior answer stricken then
	MR. ODGERS: Your Honor, she didn't answer what was said. have an understanding based upon a conversation and draw an ased on that, that is not hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Q. Did Miss Throm give you the same indication that she had been interviewed or interrogated by law enforcement regarding the alleged kickbacks?
A. Yes.
Q. Has Miss Austin made the same type of indication to you?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. But you do recall specifically Miss Austin- or Miss Throm and Miss Carson?
A. Yes.
Q. Did law enforcement ever come and talk to you about it?
A. No.
Q. To your knowledge was Miss Throm upset or angry over having been interrogated by law enforcement regarding this alleged kickback?
A. It's not something she was happy about.
Q. You're avoiding the question.
A. I'm not sure what you want.
Q. Was she upset?
MR. BROWN: Speculation Your Honor.
MR. ODGERS: It's not speculation. She has been able to
describe Miss Throm's behavior, she works with her, she's got daily – or
maybe not daily, interaction with her. She interacts with her, she's the

primary pro	ovider. She can give an opinion as to whether or not she was
upset.	
	THE COURT: Did you have an objection to that? I'm
going to ov	verrule that. You go ahead and answer the question.
A. Y	eah. She was upset.
Q. V	Vas she upset about that on June Third Two thousand fifteen?
that was u	lo. Again, there was a lot of stuff that was going on that was said, psetting. I was upset about a couple of things that were said. I ally tell you if she was specifically upset about that, there was a going on.
Q. T	hat was at least one of the issues she was upset about?
A. 1	think it was an indication of – I mean it was part of a
conversation	on.
Q. A	A very heated and loud conversation.
A. A	loud conversation. Yes.
Q. Y	ou indicated that were prior complaints about Miss Lopez?
A. F	rom employees. Yes.
Q. [	Did you notify Miss Lopez about those complaints?
A. Y	es.
Q. [	Did she address them?
A. l'	d hope she did.
Q. T	o your knowledge did she?
Throm 2015-0	PR-337 174

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	MR ODGERS: Nothing further Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
3	MR. BROWN: Briefly Your Honor.
4	Q. It could have also been valid complaints, correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	MR. BROWN: That's all I have Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. Do you want to hold her?
8	MR. BROWN: She can be released Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: You can go back to work. Thank you.
10	MR. ODGERS: Thank you, Miss Ricci.
11	MR. BROWN: Your Honor. Miss Throm is going to testify.
12 13	THE COURT: Very good. Will you please stand and raise your right hand?
14 15 16	THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you are about to give with be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?
17	MISS THROM: I do.
18 19	THE COURT: Will you please take a seat up here. Thank you.
20	Give me your true and correct name and were you live?
21	MISS THROM: Cynthia Ann Throm. Seventy three forty seven
22	Rany road Las Vegas Nevada, eight nine one three nine.  Throm 2015-OR-337 176
	Linnea Prengel Ely, NV

1	DEFENDANT TESTIFIES.
2	Q. Mam please state your name and spell your last name?
3	A. Cynthia Ann Throm. T-h-r-o-m as in Mary.
4	Q. You're the Defendant in this case. Correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. You've been here listening to the testimony today?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What do you do for a living?
9	A. (unintelligible word) Broker.
10	Q. Where do you work?
11	A. Employers benefits design.
12	Q. Who is the principle of that organization?
13	A. I am.
14	Q. How long have you worked there?
15	A. Twenty four years.
16	Q. Where were you on June Third of this year?
17	A. I was here in White Pine County.
18	Q. What were you doing here in White Pine County?
19	A. Having open enrolment meetings?
20	Q. Did anybody assist you with the open enrolment meetings?

!	A. Virginia Austin.
2	Q. Who else was present representing the County or any other
3	insurance companies at these open enrolment meetings?
4	A. Kathy Ricci was there representing the H.R. department from
5	White Pine County. Betsy Lopez was there representing Aflac.
6	Q. How do you know Betsy Lopez?
7	A. She is the Aflac representative involved with White Pine County.
8	Q. Now, earlier or late morning or early afternoon did you and Miss
9	Lopez have a disagreement?
10	A. Yes we did.
11	Q. What was the disagreement about?
12	A. It had to do with the manor with which she was explaining benefit
13	that were going to be offered to Aflac to the employee. She was meeting
14	with an employee.
15	Q. Do you know who that employee was?
16	A. I don't recall her name.
17	Q. Did you see her in the courtroom today?
18	A. Yes, I did.
19	Q. What did you do as a result of your disagreement with the way
20	she was handling things?
21	A. I had overheard the conversation, and it was the opposite of a
22	meeting that we had - a kind of telephone conference -

Q. I'm sorry to interrupt you but you said, a telephone conference we ļ had, who had that telephone conference? 2 A. Myself, Betsy, and the State director. 3 Q. When you say the State director? 4 A. Of Aflac. The State director of Aflac. 5 Q. You had a telephone conversation? 6 A. Right. We had had multiple, there had been multiple discussions 7 up preparing for the open enrolment in advance of this, and so I would say 8 approximately a week to two weeks prior to the actual open enrolment, we 9 had a conference call to determine that best course of what benefits 10 should be offered to the employees. 11 Q. What was your opinion about the way Miss Lopez was handling 12 that? 13 A. She did the exact opposite of what she said she was going to do 14 in the phone conversation that we'd had with Dan Himee. 15 Q. When that happened what did you do? 16 A. I got up and went over and interrupted and said, I don't 17 understand this isn't what we said we were going to do. That was, I did 18 interrupt their conversation. Then, Betsy went on to say that basically did I 19 see this and she handed me the piece of paper that had been referenced 20 earlier in the conversations. I took the piece of paper from her and I was 21 looking at the paper, and she had made some writings on it, so we 22 basically were debating whether, what was the right thing to do for the Ely 23

24

employees. She felt she knew best.

Q. Did you agree with her?

ì

Linnea Prengel Ely, NV

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

adamant with these arms going and then she pointed over to the blank wall and said, you know, the commissioners, the commissioners should decide. Then she went back and said, you're H.R. you're a nobody I shouldn't have to talk to you. You don't have anything to do with this. It kind of escaladed, and talked some more. Then, she said in fact ever since you've been involved, Kathy doesn't treat me the same. That's when the conversation turned regarding the investigation because I said it's not because I'm involved, it's because of the actions that came about after.

We introduced these group products a couple of years ago. I said, that' caused everybody to be a little bit upset. She said she didn't know anything about it. She goes, so you've been talking about me. I said, no, we haven't been talking about you. I said, you're aware this happened. No, I'm not. I said you were on the phone conversation with Elizabeth Frances. I was on the phone conversation and so was Dan Himee two years ago, so you're aware that this happened. She said no I'm not. You guys have just been talking about me. Then she went on to say that I look at her mean in commissioner meetings that – then she goes, don't look at me. So, then I tried to turn away. Then she came back again, going,- you know, you – you know, she kept pointing to Kathy. You're H.R. what are you going to do?

We just had this animated conversation going back and forth about what should be done and what should offered and how it should be presented and so, but at the end we were just going back and forth regarding prim- basically Virginia had said this is unprofessional guys, and I said yes it is. I started to walk away and then she said, you're so unprofessional. She was going back to me again, so I came back going you're right. We should stop this.

l

l

Н

A. No. it was mainly about the benefits, who should decide what benefits should be offered, and how they should be presented.

Q. So was the conversation mainly about this investigation?

- Q. Were you upset about this investigation?
- A. The investigation was old. No, I wasn't particularly upset about it. It had been annoying at the time, but it really wasn't an investigation full blown. I got a phone call from Elizabeth Frances more than two years ago about when it came up. It came up that I was told they were going to have to investigate. That's basically all that I was told. I was not investigated per say, Lori Carson had to be interviewed with by someone, I don't know who. I am aware of this because Lori Carson told me that.
- Q. On June Third at about four o'clock when all of this was going on, did you tell Betsy that Lori Carson was going to be upset?
- A. No. I basically only mentioned that because that was one of the factors that started some of the friction that was involved.
- Q. Her testimony about the physical event that took place at that time. Why don't you describe for the Court what took place?
- A. When we were at the- I don't know how many minutes this argument lasted because when you are in the heat of an argument, back and forth. Betsy was loud. I was loud. We were going back and forth. Virginia was telling us we needed to stop. I agreed, we needed to stop. The last time Betsy was pointing her fingers and going like that again, I just walked over and put my hands up and I said, we need to stop. We're not going to resolve this here. We need to stop.

l	Q. Did you touch her?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Where did you touch her?
4	A. On the outsides of her – her hands were facing mine because her
5	hands were up and around and I was just kind of pulling them, I was just
6	kind of going that direction. I just kind of had them on the outside. That's
7	when I said, we need to stop. She pulled back and she said, don't touch
8	me. I don't touch you. I said, you're right, I'm sorry. It will never happen
9	again. I turned around I picked up the rest of our stuff. We went out the
10	door.
11	Q. Did you grab her upper arms at all at any time?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Did you shake her?
14	A. No, sir.
15	Q. Did you touch her in a violent manner?
16	A. No sir.
17	Q. Forceful?
18	A. No.
19	Q. At any time did you try to get anyone to remove Miss Lopez from
20	her contract with White Pine County?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Did you later that night, present Miss Lopez with an apology card'

Linnea Prengel Ely, NV

A. Yes sir.
Q. She didn't say anything negative to you or anything of that nature
right?
A. No.
Q. She said thank you for opening the door?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Your card that you gave her, do you want the Court to believe the only reason that you gave her that card was because your performance, that you lost your patience, and your performance that day was less than professional. Is that what you want the Court to believe?
A. Yes sir.
Q. It has nothing to do with the fact that you put your hands on Miss Lopez?
A. No.
Q. You testified a moment ago, that when Miss Lopez was animated with her hands, you walked towards her and put your hands up to stop her from swinging her hands. Do you remember saying that? You grabbed them by the outside of her arms correct?
MR. BROWN: I'm going to object, Your Honor. She didn't say she grabbed her hands.
THE COURT: Sustained.

8

10

13

15

Q. I believe your testimony was you walked over, she put her hands up, you put her hands on the outside of her hands, correct? To stop her from flailing or whatever.

A. I didn't walk up towards her so I may have said it out of order of direction. We were both at that point, standing in front of each other. I had turned around and come back, she was talking her arms were moving.

- Q. You were on your way out, you chose to come back to her?
- A. I was on my out, yet I had just turned around,
- Q. To walk away from the situation?
- A. I was going to go back, she started to say something, I turned around and her arms were everywhere. I was in front of her, I put my hands up around the outside of her hands and said, we need to stop. We have another meeting.
- Q. When you reached up and grabbed her hands, put your hands up, however terminology you wish to use. Did Miss Lopez give you permission to touch her?
  - A. No, she did not.
- Q. You had the opportunity and you were in fact walking away, but you chose to turn around, whatever terminology you want to use. You had the opportunity at that point to continue going the direction you were going, but instead you came back into the conversation, and you took willful action in reaching out to touch her correct? It was willful, it wasn't accidental? You didn't accidentally turn around and hit her. You willfully, you forced your arms up in the air, you forced your hands to grab her and whatever

2	purpose?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. You did it all without permission?
5	A. Yes.
6 7 8	Q. You did it while you were in the heat of the discussion by your own terminology. This is what you said. In the heat of the argument, and both of you were loud. Correct?
9 10	A. I wasn't loud. When I said, we need to stop. I had previously been loud.
11	MR. ODGERS: Nothing further, Your Honor.
12	MR. BROWN: Nothing further, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. You may take your seat back over here.
14	
15	Do you have any other witnesses you want to bring back in?
16	MR. BROWN: Defense rests, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: And you? you rest?
18	MR. ODGERS: I've already rested Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to give closing?
20	MR. ODGERS: Absolutely Your Honor.
21 22	N.R.S. 200.481 section one defines battery as any willful and unlawful use of force or violence upon a person of another. It's the willful
	Throm 2015-OR-337 188

use of force. How do we get where your defenses, you have self defense, there's been no evidence of self defense. You have accident, I turn around and hit somebody by mistake. I through a baseball, somebody walks in the middle of me and somebody playing catch. I through the baseball, I intended to through the baseball but I didn't intend to hit the person, somebody walks in between. Those are all accidents.

Miss Throm testimony, you just herd. She was involved in a headed discussion. She turned to walk away, Miss Lopez said something, she turned back around. She reached up willfully and grabbed her by the hands. That's force. It's willful force, it wasn't an accident.

There is no excuse for another person to unlawfully, there is not lawful reason, she wasn't trying to protect Miss Lopez from having a seizure or anything else of that nature. So, you only have lawful or unlawful touches. Lawful touching with permission. Unlawful touching is everything else. Accident's excluded.

What are the elements, willful, she testified she willfully raised her arms, she willfully reached out, she willfully grabbed her. The pictures and the rest of that are just evidence. There doesn't have to actually be any injury for a battery to have occurred. That's not a requirement of the law. Plain and simple.

The Court's determination simply was whether or not the touching was lawful. We've already established Miss Lopez is a person of another. There has been no evidence to the contrary. We've established by Miss Throm's own testimony that she willfully without lawful reason reached out and grabbed Miss Lopez. Based on that Your Honor, the City's job of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that a battery occurred, is met under

the definition of N.R.S. 200.481. We ask you to find Miss Throm guilty of Battery.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm going to have to disagree with the City Attorney on a few points here. He read to you the statute correctly, willful, unlawful use of force or violence, and he preceded to argue that there is lawful touching and unlawful touching. That's not in the statute, you have to go what's in the statute, not something somebody makes up. Lawful, and unlawful touching. That's not the law. The law is willful, unlawful use of force or violence.

How did the City try to prove that? First, they had Dale Watterson come in and show you these photographs. I think on some of these photographs he admitted that it, you know, wasn't really bruising, it looked like a scratch. In particular he talked about exhibit number ten. Then later we had testimony from Miss Lopez herself, that what he thought was a scratch, and I was prepared to argue, if that's a scratch, it's not the scratch you get from a fingernail.

She came in and told you that's a line that they drew on her arm. The rest of these, Your Honor, I guess the Court can make the determination whether or not, there is a bruise or not, or a red mark. We know that the very first photographs were taken six hours later, after this incident. The other photographs were taken a couple days later. There is even circles drawn to highlight where these bruises are.

It's kind of in interesting that Mr. Odgers says, we don't have to prove any injury. It sounds like to me, Your Honor, that he is conceding, there is no bruises here. It's up to the Court, you can make that determination.

Throm 2015-OR-337

l

3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

MR. BROWN: I'll leave it to the Court to determine whether you see bruises there. The Officer didn't testify that he saw bruising. Again, I'll leave it to the Court.

Did Miss Throm, act in an unprofessional manor? She told you she did. She told you she was unprofessional. She told you Miss Lopez was unprofessional. That's not a crime. You had two professional people here, neither one were acting like professionals. They were arguing. Miss Throm shouldn't have gone and interrupted Miss Lopez. That made Miss Lopez mad. She sat there and said oh no, I was calm, and it was fine. She told you I didn't like being supervised.

She even threw out something about splitting commissions. She's upset about that. She admitted she was upset about that. Yet, she is going to testify that she was calm and polite, and she was surrounded by these three women. I think she used the word gang. They can argue that's not why they used the word gang, but I think they used the word gang to try and indicate a gang member.

She stood there with her hands to her side, and was pleasant and calm, and Cindy Throm was yelling at her. Kathy Ricci was yelling at her. Virginia Austin was yelling at her. That's her version of what happened. You heard from Kathy Ricci that's not what happened. You heard from Virginia Austin that's not what happened. You heard from Cindy Throm that's not what happened. What you heard from those three people is that Betsy was upset and flailing her arms around also.

If they were going to lie for Miss Throm, they would have said Miss Throm never did anything. But, they didn't. They were truthful. They said that Miss Throm was also heated, and yelling, just like Miss Lopez. That her arms were waiving around, just like Miss Lopez. What happened was Miss Throm did turn to walk away. It's true, she came back, she came back because Betsy, Miss Lopez wouldn't let it go. She kept –

SOMEONE IN AUDIENCE.

You don't know that -

MR. ODGERS: Hey.

MR. BROWN: Just like here, she can't let things go. She's got to break in, and she prides herself on being calm. That's not how she's acting now, that's not how she was acting that day, Your Honor. That's what all the witnesses testified to. She said, she reported it immediately but the law enforcement was too busy. She talked to Dave Monroe but he was at the Graduation, so he was busy. He didn't have time to take a battery report?

If law enforcement thought that someone was battered, they would take a report, Your Honor.

MR. ODGERS: Objection. Speculation. It's contrary to the evidence.

MR. BROWN: It's argument. It is not contrary to the evidence. It's argument, and I can make that argument. As to the disagreement I that I have with Mr. Odgers, I told you one was the use of the statute, and him suddenly changing it to willful, unlawful use of force or violence, to unlawful touching. There is no such thing as unlawful touching in the criminal law. You can't find it in a statue anywhere. The statute says willful, unlawful force or violence.

That's the first disagreement, the other disagreement I have is he kept saying that Cindy Throm grabbed Betsy Lopez. There was no testimony by anyone other than Betsy Lopez that's what happened. You had consistent testimony from Virginia Austin, Kathy Ricci, and Cindy Throm that she put her hands up to calm her down. Not, that she grabbed her. These might like slight differences, but that's not what happened. It wasn't a grab. Miss Throm never said that, she tried to calm her down, and put her hands on her. That might be touching, but unlawful touching is not a crime. It might be unprofessional. But the statue says willful, unlawful, use of force or violence. Kathy Ricci testified it wasn't force or violence. Virginia Austin testified it wasn't force of violence. Cindy Throm testified, it wasn't force of violence. It wasn't that it was this. That is not force or violence, Your Honor.

This card was brought up. If you read the card, the card says, the statement was made, the question was asked. You want the Court to believe that you were just apologizing for this disagreement you had earlier today. She said, yeah that's what I want the Court to believe. That's because that's what the card says. It doesn't say I'm sorry I grabbed you and shook you. It says I'm sorry we had a disagreement. That's what the apology was about, Your Honor. That's what she testified to, that's what the card says. Nothing about this incident later in the day.

Your Honor, there was no use of force, there was no use of violence, there was no criminal intent here. There was a disagreement between two people. I can't even say it got out of hand. Because there was no force or violence. There was no criminal intent. There was no crime here Your Honor. You should find Miss Throm not guilt.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Odgers?

Throm 2015-OR-337

Ì

1	
2	١
3	
4	
5	
6	}
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	ļ
12	١
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ODGERS: Thank you, Your Honor. Apparently Mr. Brown and I were at two different trials. If the Court will recall Miss Austin, walked over to me and asked me to stand up so that she could demonstrate to the Court the action taken by Miss Throm. If the Court will recall, she walked up me, she said put your hands up like this. I put my hands up in front of me about shoulder height. She then indicated Miss Throm's hands came up and took hold of the wrist of Miss Lopez.

MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. That wasn't the testimony.

MR. ODGERS: It's argument at this point Mr. Brown got to ramble on, so at this point, it's my opportunity to rebut what he proposes is what the evidence shows.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. ODGERS: Miss Austin walked up and demonstrated that, on her own volition. Demonstrated what transpired. Force, however slight, is all that is required. It does not require an injury. Mr. Brown didn't argue against that because he knows that is the state of the law. It' unlawful use of force. However slight, or violence. The or doesn't require both. It means one or the other. Contrary to what Mr. Brown is trying to convince the court.

MR. BROWN: Objection. I didn't say that.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. ODGERS: The unlawful use of force however slight. The willfulness is pretty simple Your Honor. It wasn't an accident. She willfully reached up, she willfully put her hands over Miss Betsy's hands. Grabbed

Throm 2015-OR-337

her, according to Miss Ricci, she touched her.	According to Miss Austin
she grabbed her.	

MR. BROWN; Objection. She didn't use the word grab,

MR. ODGERS: She grabbed her. It's force however slight. It was not invited. This was not a boxing match. Where both parties agreed to beat the hell out of each other. This was at best, an unprofessional situation that got out of hand by Miss Throm reaching out and using force, however slight, against the body of Miss Lopez. She did that by touching, grabbing, whatever, terminology you want to use. You heard all four witnesses testify.

Remember, the law is simply this. The unlawful, willful, use of force however slight upon the person of another. That's the standard. That's why the City has proven beyond a reasonable doubt, that the injury, that the battery occurred. It occurred because there was a willful act by Miss Throm, against Betsy Lopez when she willfully brought up her arms, willfully grabbed, touched, used force however slight to stop Miss Lopez.

That is the definition of a battery. Mr. Brown and I can argue till the day is long. Two different lawyers can have two different opinions on what the facts show. That's why you get paid the big bucks as a Judge. You have to decide whether or not, it was an willful, unlawful use of force against Miss Lopez by Miss Throm based on all the evidence that has been presented before you today. Now as to the apology, this apology is evidence of guilt. It's evidence of guilt because it occurred right after the incident, which she admitted that she had unlawful contact with and use force with Miss Lopez.

MR. BROWN: Objection. There is no such admission.

grabbed Miss Lopez, and that is not the testimony.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

seat.

MR. BROWN: Thank you. Your Honor if I would ask the Court look at that card and see what it really says as opposed what Mr. Odgers says it says.

THE COURT: I know what the testimony was. Have a

THE COURT: Please stand. After to listening to all the witnesses and their testimony, and see what they had to say, and the witnesses here on this case. The only thing I can say, and I'm bring it right down to it level ground. Level ground is N.R.S which states you cannot even touch them. I don't care whether you beat them up, kick them, or whatever the case might be. You do not touch anybody.

Obviously here, she did touch her. The Court is going to find her guilty of the charges. Mr. Odgers do you have any recommendations?

MR. ODGERS: I do, Your Honor. The City is going to request a five hundred dollar fine plus assessments, there is no restitution. I'm going to ask the Court to issue a six month jail sentence to stay out of trouble that will be suspended. Stay out of trouble for the period of one year no other negative law enforcement, other than minor traffic, at the end of that year the matter can be closed.

THE COURT: Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, I was in here two days ago representing an individual who had been a bar fight, and the City recommended a one hundred fifty dollar fine. Now for this, I can't imagine a battery being more slight than this case. I'm not going to, I may disagree with the Court's ruling, what the Court has ruled and I have to except that. That it was a touching. Touching someone, five hundred Throm 2015-OR-337 197

dollars, and six month suspended sentence. Your Honor, that is so out of
line. When we have somebody who gets into an actual fight with
somebody and pays one hundred fifty dollar fine. Your Honor, in this case
think a warning or maybe a hundred dollar fine, but certainly doesn't rise to
that level to require a suspended sentence and I five hundred dollar fine.
That's just way out of line, Your Honor. At worst it was a touch.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anything else Mr. Odgers?

this case I

MR. ODGERS: Other than the fact that I take exception to Mr. Brown bringing up a case that was negotiated for another one of his clients in a completely different set of situation.

THE COURT: I agree.

MR. ODGERS: I'll remain with my recommendation.

THE COURT: I can appreciate your recommendation, Mr. Odgers. I'm going to take into consideration here that we are talking about professional people. Professional people. We are not talking about a knock down blow and hitting somebody from behind or whatnot. Here are a couple of people who got into an argument and literally just a touch is a cause of a battery which is really ridiculous. That's the law, she filed a complaint, and we went forward with, and we are going to end that way with a - I am not going to go with a five hundred dollar fine. I'm going to go with a hundred dollar fine there will be no jail time suspension and nothing else, just a hundred dollar fine that's it, plus assessments.

COURT CLERK: A hundred dollar fine has a seventy eight administrative fee, ten dollar facility fee, seven dollar special court fee for a total of one hundred ninety five dollars.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	THE COURT: Can you pay that today?
2	MISS THROM: I can pay it with a credit card, or a check but
3	you don't take those. I don't have a hundred ninety five dollars cash on
4	me.
5	THE COURT: Can you go to the bank and get cash from
6	a bank?
7	MISS THROM: My bank is not here, but I can send the money-
8	THE COURT: Can you send the money to your attorney?
9	MISS THROM: Yes, or to the Court, whichever one you would
10	like.
11	UNKNOWN VOICE: Judge can I have two seconds to count
12	the money?
13	MISS THROM: That's my husband. I have a hundred.
14	MR. ODGERS: I have no doubt that her check would be good,
15	if you wanted to except her check.
16	THE COURT: We don't except checks.
17	COURT CLERK: We don't but we could make an exception for
18	her.
19	UNKNOWN VOICE: I have one hundred fifty cash.
20	MR. ODGERS: Miss Throm is in the community a lot Your
21	Honor, I don't think that she is going to bounce a check to the Court.
22	THE COURT: We don't want to take your dinner money.

1	MISS THROM: I can write you a check this very minute, and							
2	it's perfectly good, you're welcome to call the bank.							
3	THE COURT: Alright, that will be fine. After court I want							
4	you to get together with the clerk out here and write her a check for the							
5	total amount.							
6	MISS THROM: Absolutely, Yes sir.							
7	THE COURT: Anything else?							
8	MR. ODGERS: The admonishment on the right to appeal Your							
9	Honor.							
10	THE COURT: Yes, you have ten days from the day of my							
11	decision to appeal if you feel you want to.							
12	Nothing else?							
13	MR. ODGERS: Nothing at this time Your Honor.							
14	MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.							
15	THE COURT: Thank you. We'll go ahead and recess							
16	now.							
17	(Court in recess at 2:13 P.M.)							
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
•	Throm 2015-OR-337 200							
	Linnea Prengel Ely, NV							

## TABLE OF CONTENTS 2 WITNESS FOR THE CITY: 3 Dale Watterson: 4 Direct Exam Page 6 Line 4..to..Page 13 Line 1 5 Page 13 Line 4..to..Page 17 Line 2 Cross Exam 6 Kathy Ricci: 7 Direct Exam Page 17 Line 13..to..Page 43 Line 1 8 Cross Exam Page 43 Line 4..to..Page 51 Line 11 9 Re-Direct Page 51 Line 15...to...Page 61 Line 18 10 11 Virginia Austin: 12 Direct Exam Page 64 Line 10...to...Page 89 Line 17 13 Cross Exam Page 90 Line 8...to...Page 93 Line 16 14 Re-Direct Page 93 Line 19...to...Page 96 Line 21 15 Page 97 Line 2...to...Page 98 Line 19 Re-Cross 16 17 Dave Monroe: 18

Direct Exam Page 99 Line 15...to...Page 107 Line 19

Cross Exam: Page 108 Line 2...to...Page 111 Line 11

19

20

ì	Betsy Lopez:	
2	Direct Exam	Page 112 Line 8toPage 138 Line 19
3	Cross Exam	Page 138 Line 22toPage 162 Line 10
4	Re-Direct	Page 162 Line 13toPage 166 Line 9
5		
6	WITNESS FOR DEFENSE:	
7	Cynthia Throm:	
8	Direct Exam:	Page 177 Line 2toPage 185 Line 17
9	Cross Exam:	Page 185 Line 22toPage 188 Line 10
10	Kathy Ricci:	
11	Direct Exam:	Page 112 Line 8toPage138 Line 19
12	Cross Exam:	Page 138 Line 22toPage 162 Line 10
13	Re-Direct	Page 162 Line 13toPage 166 Line 19
14	Re-Cross	Page 176 Line 4to Page 176 Line 5
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
<b>\</b>		

## **EXHIBITS**

City's	<b>Exhibits</b>
--------	-----------------

5	#	<b>#</b> 1	Page	129	Line	. 8	3to	F	age	130	Line	3
6	‡	<b>#</b> 2	Page	130	Line	: 6	6to	F	age	130	Line	18
7	;	#3	Page	131	Line	: 5	5to	)F	age	131	Line	10
8	‡	#4	Page	131	Line	21	l…tc	)F	age	132	Line	7
9	‡	<b>#</b> 5	Page	8 l	_ine	22	to	P	age	9	Line	13
10	;	#6	Page	9 (	_ine	19	to	P	age	10	Line	8
11	;	<b>#</b> 7	Page	10 l	_ine	11	to	P	age	10	Line	18
12	7	#8	Page	10 l	_ine	22	to	P	age	11	Line	5
13	7	#9	Page	11	Line	8 9	to	F	age	11 L	ine	19
14	#	±10	Page	12	Line	1	to	P	age	12 L	ine	15
15	#	ŧ11	Page	114	Line	14	1tc	)F	age'	126	Line	19
16	#	ŧ12	Page	22	Line	16	3tc	)F	age'	25	Line	14
	•											

#13 Page 90 Line 4

STATE OF NEVADA }
} SS.
CITY OF ELY }

I, LINNEA PRENGEL, duly sworn Court Transcriptionist for the Ely Municipal Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of White Pine, State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the testimony given in the above-entitled matter heard in Ely, White Pine County, Nevada; and the sound recorded proceedings thereof were transcribed; and, that the foregoing pages contain a full, true and correct transcription of the sound recording taken as aforesaid; and, a full, true and correct transcription of all proceedings had and testimony given to the best of my ability.

LINNEA PRENGEL